



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FENWICK & WEST LLP
SILICON VALLEY CENTER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET
MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94041

COPY MAILED

JUL 30 2007

In re Application of	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Albert K. Chin	:	
Application No. 09/413012	:	
Filing or 371(c) Date: 10/05/1999	:	ON PETITION
Attorney Docket Number:	:	
80121-04496	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 17, 2007, to revive the above-identified application. The delay in treating this petition is regretted.

This Petition is hereby **dismissed**.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition under [insert the applicable code section]". This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

Background

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely and properly reply to the final Office action, mailed August 22, 2006. The Office action set a three (3) month period for reply from the mail date of the Office action. Extensions of time were available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). No reply having been received, the application became abandoned on November 23, 2006. The mailing of this Decision precedes the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment.

The instant petition

Applicant files the instant petition and Amendment.

Applicable Law, Rules and MPEP

A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

(3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

Applicant lacks item (1) as set forth above.

Analysis and conclusion

As to item (1), the Examiner has reviewed the Amendment and concluded that the Amendment fails to place the application in condition for allowance.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Director for Patents
 PO Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
 Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

Inquiries regarding the Amendment should be directed to the Examiner. Telephone inquiries concerning this petition Decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3232.


Derek L. Woods

Attorney
Office of Petitions